

<https://introml.mit.edu/>

1

6.390 Intro to Machine Learning

Lecture 6: Neural Networks

Shen Shen Oct 4, 2024

Outline

- Recap, the leap from simple linear models
- (Feedforward) Neural Networks Structure
	- **Design choices**
- Forward pass
- Backward pass
	- Back-propagation

leveraging nonlinear transformations

transform via $\phi([x_1; x_2]) = [1; |x_1 - x_2|]$

4

Pointed out key ideas (enabling neural networks):

Nonlinear feature transformation

expressiveness

- "Composing" simple transformations
- Backpropagation

efficient training

- nonlinear transformation empowers linear tools
- "composing" simple nonlinearities amplifies such effect

Outline

- Recap, the leap from simple linear models
- (Feedforward) Neural Networks Structure
	- **Design choices**
- Forward pass
- Backward pass
	- **Back-propagation**

 heads-up, in this section, for simplicity: all neural network diagrams focus on a single data point

A neuron:

- *x*: *d*-dimensional input
- *w*: weights (i.e. parameters)
- *z*: pre-activation output
- *f*: activation function
- *a*: post-activation output
- *w*: what the algorithm learns
- *f*: what we engineers choose *z*: scalar *a*: scalar

e.g. linear regressor represented as a computation graph

Choose activation $f(z) = z$

e.g. linear logistic classifier represented as a computation graph

Choose activation $f(z) = \sigma(z)$

A layer:

- (# of neurons) = (layer's output dimension).
- typically, all neurons in one layer use the same activation *f* (if not, uglier algebra).
- typically fully connected, where all x_i are connected to all z_j , meaning each x_i influences every a_j eventually.
- typically, no "cross-wiring", meaning e.g. z_1 won't affect a^2 . (the final layer may be an exception if softmax is used.)

learnable weights

A (fully-connected, feed-forward) neural network:

Outline

- Recap, the leap from simple linear models
- (Feedforward) Neural Networks Structure

Design choices

- Forward pass
- Backward pass
	- **Back-propagation**

it can be represented as

Activation function *f* choices

used to be the most popular *σ*

- firing rate of a neuron
- \deg and $\gcd(\sigma'(z)) = \sigma(z) \cdot (1 \sigma(z))$

nowadays

$$
\operatorname{ReLU}(z) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{if } z < 0 \\ z & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.
$$

$$
= \max(0, z)
$$

- default choice in hidden layers
- **very** simple function form, so is the gradient.

$$
\frac{\partial \text{ReLU}(z)}{\partial z} := \left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} 0, & \text{ if } & z < 0 \\ 1, & \text{ if } & \text{ otherwise } \end{array} \right.
$$

- drawback: if strongly in negative region, a single ReLU can be "dead" (no gradient).
- Luckily, typically we have lots of units, so not everyone is dead.

compositions of ReLU(s) can be quite expressive

in fact, asymptotically, can approximate any function!

(image credit: [Phillip](https://web.mit.edu/phillipi/) Isola)

(image credit: Tamara Broderick)

or give arbitrary decision boundaries!

*x*1

(image credit: Tamara Broderick)

output layer design choices

- # neurons, activation, and loss depend on the high-level goal.
- typically straightforward.
- Multi-class setup: if predict *one and only one* class out of K possibilities, then

last layer: K neurons, softmax activation, cross-entropy loss

e.g., say
$$
K = 5
$$
 classes
input x \longrightarrow hidden \rightarrow $\begin{bmatrix} 1.3 \\ 5.1 \\ 2.2 \\ 0.7 \\ 1.1 \end{bmatrix}$ \longrightarrow $\begin{bmatrix} 1.3 \\ e^{z_i} \\ e^{z_i} \\ 0.05 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.02 \end{bmatrix}$ \longrightarrow $\begin{bmatrix} 0.02 \\ 0.90 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.02 \end{bmatrix}$

other multi-class settings, see discussion in lab.

- Width: # of neurons in layers
- Depth: # of layers
- More expressive if increasing either the width or depth.

The usual pitfall of overfitting (though in NN-land, it's also an active research topic.)

(The demo won't embed in PDF. But the direct link below works.)

Outline

- Recap, the leap from simple linear models
- (Feedforward) Neural Networks Structure
	- **Design choices**
- Forward pass
- Backward pass
	- **Back-propagation**

e.g. forward-pass of a linear regressor

- Evaluate the loss $\mathcal{L} = (g y)^2$
- Repeat for each data point, average the sum of *n* individual losses

e.g. forward-pass of a linear logistic classifier

- \bullet Evaluate the loss $\mathcal{L} = -[y \log g + (1 y) \log (1 g)]$
- Repeat for each data point, average the sum of *n* individual losses

- $f^L \left(\ldots f^2 \left(\begin{array}{c} f^1(\mathbf{x}^{(i)};\mathbf{W}^1);\mathbf{W}^2 \end{array} \right); \ldots \mathbf{W}^L \right).$
- the loss incurred on the current data $\mathcal{L}(g^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$
- the training error $J = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}(g^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$

Outline

- Recap, the leap from simple linear models
- (Feedforward) Neural Networks Structure
	- **Design choices**
- Forward pass
- Backward pass
	- **Back-propagation**

Backward pass:

Run SGD to update the parameters, e.g. to update *W*²

- Randomly pick a data point $(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$
- Evaluate the gradient $\nabla_{W^2} \mathcal{L}(g^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$
- Update the weights $W^2 \leftarrow W^2 \eta \nabla_{W^2} \mathcal{L}(g^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$

Backward pass:

Run SGD to update the parameters, e.g. to update *W*²

Evaluate the gradient $\nabla_{W^2} \mathcal{L}(g^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$

Update the weights $W^2 \leftarrow W^2 - \eta \nabla_{W^2} \mathcal{L}(g^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$

Backward pass:

Run SGD to update the parameters, e.g. to update *W*¹

How do we get these gradient though?

Evaluate the gradient $\nabla_{W^1} \mathcal{L}(g^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$

Update the weights $W^1 \leftarrow W^1 - \eta \nabla_{W^1} \mathcal{L}(g^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$

Outline

- Recap, the leap from simple linear models
- (Feedforward) Neural Networks Structure
	- **Design choices**
- Forward pass
- Backward pass
	- Back-propagation

e.g. backward-pass of a linear regressor

- Randomly pick a data point $(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$
- Evaluate the gradient $\nabla_w \mathcal{L}(g^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$
- Update the weights $w \leftarrow w \eta \nabla_w \mathcal{L}(g^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$

$$
\nabla_w \mathcal{L}(g,y) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(g,y)}{\partial w} = \frac{\partial [(g-y)^2]}{\partial w} \ = \frac{\partial [(w^T x - y)^2]}{\partial w} = x \cdot 2(g-y)
$$

$$
\nabla_w \mathcal{L}(g,y) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(g,y)}{\partial w} \, = \frac{\partial [(g-y)^2]}{\partial w} \, = x \cdot \frac{\partial [(\mathrm{ReLU}(z))]}{\partial z} \cdot 2(g-y)
$$

Now, back propagation: reuse of computation

back propagation: reuse of computation

back propagation: reuse of computation

Summary

- We saw that introducing non-linear transformations of the inputs can substantially increase the power of linear tools. But it's kind of difficult/tedious to select a good transformation by hand.
- Multi-layer neural networks are a way to automatically find good transformations for us!
- Standard NNs have layers that alternate between parametrized linear transformations and fixed non-linear transforms (but many other designs are possible.)
- Typical non-linearities include sigmoid, tanh, relu, but mostly people use relu.
- Typical output transformations for classification are as we've seen: sigmoid, or softmax.
- There's a systematic way to compute gradients via back-propagation, in order to update parameters.

We'd love to hear your [thoughts.](https://forms.gle/kMAu9HkyHoi1ysoGA)

Thanks!