## 6.036: Midterm, Fall 2018

#### Do not tear exam booklet apart!

- This is a closed book exam. Calculators not permitted.
- The problems are not necessarily in any order of difficulty.
- Record all your answers in the places provided. If you run out of room for an answer, continue on a blank page and mark it clearly.
- If a question seems vague or under-specified to you, make an assumption, write it down, and solve the problem given your assumption.
- If you absolutely *have* to ask a question, come to the front.
- Write your name on every page.

Name: \_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_Athena ID:\_\_\_\_\_

Question	Points	Score
1	12	
2	8	
3	10	
4	16	
5	12	
6	16	
7	14	
8	12	
Total:	100	

## Originality

- 1. (12 points) Consider the following classification data set with two-dimensional inputs: there is one positive example at (1, 0) and one negative example at (2, 0).
  - (a) Is this data linearly separable through the origin?  $\bigcirc$  yes  $\bigcirc$  no
  - (b) If so, give the parameters  $\theta$  of a separator.

(c) Describe the sequence of hypotheses the perceptron algorithm *through origin* generates on this data set, assuming the positive example is chosen first.

- (d) Is this data linearly separable, but *not* through the origin?  $\bigcirc$  yes  $\bigcirc$  no
- (e) If so, give the parameters  $\theta$  and  $\theta_0$  of a separator.

(f) Without simulating the execution, what specific thing can you say about the value of  $\theta_2$  when the perceptron algorithm terminates?

#### All Greek to me!

2. (8 points) Let's consider solving a ridge regression problem using stochastic gradient descent. For simplicity, we will ignore the offset. Our hypothesis has the form

$$h(x;\theta) = \theta^T x \; ; \;$$

our objective function has the form

$$J(\theta) = \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(h(x^{(i)};\theta) - y^{(i)}\right)^2\right) + \lambda \|\theta\|^2 ;$$

and we will do T steps of gradient descent using a rule of the form

$$\theta = \theta - \eta \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) \; \; ,$$

where  $\eta$  has a fixed value throughout the execution.

What is with all these Greek letters!? Each of  $\theta$ ,  $\lambda$ , and  $\eta$  has a role in what happens.

In the following questions, mark all answers that apply.

- (a) Which parameter(s) would be included when using the hypothesis to make predictions?  $\bigcirc \theta \bigcirc \lambda \bigcirc \eta \bigcirc$  none
- (b) Which parameter(s) are primarily intended to improve generalization?  $\bigcirc \theta \bigcirc \lambda \bigcirc \eta \bigcirc$  none
- (c) Can T play a similar role to  $\lambda$ ?  $\bigcirc$  yes  $\bigcirc$  no Explain your answer.

(d) Can  $\eta$  play a similar role to  $\lambda$  ?  $\bigcirc$  yes  $\bigcirc$  no Explain your answer.

# X Margin

3. (10 points) We trained several classifiers using the SVM objective function,

$$J(\theta, \theta_0) = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \max\left(0, 1 - y^{(i)}(\theta^T x + \theta_0)\right)\right) + \lambda \|\theta\|^2 ,$$

while varying the parameter  $\lambda$ .

In all the plots below, we are showing a unit normal to the separator; it does not reflect the magnitude of  $\theta$ .

(a) **Data set 1:** In the following examples, we show the resulting separator. Label them with the  $\lambda$  values from the set  $(10^{-7}, 0.1, 5.0)$ . Note that there is a single positive example up near the cloud of negative examples.



**Solution:** Let's start by thinking of what "goal" each of the two terms in SVM objective J represents.

- $\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \max\left(0, 1 y^{(i)}(\theta^T x + \theta_0)\right)\right)$  represents the sum of the hinge-loss for all data points, which is the sum of the penalties for data misclassified or within  $\gamma_{ref}$  of the boundary, which is generally interpretable as the correctness of the classification;
- (minimizing)  $\|\theta\|^2$  represents the size of the margin (which is quantitatively  $\frac{1}{\|\theta\|}$ , and qualitatively the minimum, **out of all points**, of the **signed** distance from each point the boundary.)
- $\lambda$  is the trade-off between these two goals.

Now let's solve the problem.

- If  $\lambda$  is almost nonexistent, J is almost entirely about the first goal (classification), SVM will find a boundary that fulfills its first goal (correctly classifies all the points); within the set of classifiers that make no mistakes, it will prefer one that maximizes the margin. This yields **Plot 3**. (Notice that the green outlier is correctly classified.)
- If  $\lambda$  is not nonexistent but not too big, J represents both goals. SVM will find a boundary that trades off both parts of the objective, yielding **Plot 1**. (Notice that the green outlier is incorrectly classified, and that the margins for most points, on average, is large.)

- If  $\lambda$  is big, the SVM objective J becomes more about minimizing  $||\theta||$  and not so much about its original intended objective, correct classification. SVM will now find some boundary that makes  $||\theta||$  small and ignores classification, yielding **Plot 2**.
- (b) **Data set 2:** In the following examples, we show the resulting separator. Label them with the  $\lambda$  values from the set (0, 0.1, 2.0)



**Solution:** We can use logic similar to the previous part. With  $\lambda = 0$ , we will accept any separator with no regard for the margin.

(c) Approximately how many mistakes would you expect the perceptron algorithm to make on data set 1? ○ 10 ○ 100 ○ 2500 ○ 25,000

**Solution:** Remember that an upper bound on the number of mistakes made by the perceptron is given by  $(\frac{R}{\gamma})^2$  where R is the data's maximum distance from the origin, and  $\gamma$  is the margin of the data to **a perfectly linearly classified boundary**(quantitative interpretation of condition (a) of Theorem 3.1, See Theorem 3.1 of lecture note.) Look at the scales on the axes carefully and note that  $R \approx 10$ ,  $\gamma \approx 0.2$ . Thus,

$$\left(\frac{R}{\gamma}\right)^2 \approx 2500 \text{ mistakes}$$

(d) Approximately how many mistakes would you expect the perceptron algorithm to make on data set 2?  $\bigcirc$  10  $\bigcirc$  100  $\bigcirc$  2500  $\bigcirc$  25,000

**Solution:** Look at the scales on the axes carefully and note that  $R \approx 3$ ,  $\gamma \approx 0.75$ . Thus,

$$\left(\frac{R}{\gamma}\right)^2 \approx 16 \text{ mistakes}$$

## Whither the weather?

- 5. (12 points) You have been hired for your mad ML skillz to do weather predictions for the Boston Glob newspaper. You need to predict precipitation type and minimum temperature for the day, based on a large amount of atmospheric data. You decide to use a neural network, but need to define several things related to the output.
  - (a) Assume that precipitation type can be one of *None*, *Rain*, or *Snow* and that temperature can be treated as a real number of degrees Celsius.Select a way to encode the output into a fixed number of output dimensions and show what values of those outputs would you use to encode that it will snow and be -4 degrees C.

(b) Explain what activation functions you would use in the last layer of the network. Unlike most of the examples we have discussed, it might be appropriate in this case to use different activation functions for different units in the output layer.

(c) Assume that assigning a probability of 0.5 to the correct precipitation type is as bad as being off by 10 degrees C in temperature. Write a loss function in the form L(guess, actual) to express an appropriate loss for this network.

Name
rame.

#### Adversarial example

6. (16 points) Willy Makeit has trained up a one-layer neural network with a sigmoid activation function to classify ferns based on several important features of their leaves. The hypothesis is:

$$h(x; W, W_0) = \sigma(W^T x + W_0)$$
.

Willy is particularly excited to find that this network correctly classifies an important but unusual-looking species of fern (which we will call  $x^*$ ) as a positive example.

Betty Wont wants to defeat Willy's classifier by finding another fern,  $x_A$ , that is very similar to  $x^*$  but which his classifier predicts is negative.

The problem Betty wants to solve can be framed as finding a new input  $x_A = \arg \min_x J(x)$ , where

$$J(x) = \alpha ||x - x^*||^2 + \max(0, h(x; W, W_0) - 0.5)$$

(a) Which term in the objective J depends on the class of x? Explain in words what it is computing and why it makes sense in this problem.

(b) Betty thinks gradient descent would be a good way to solve this problem. If  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , what are the dimensions of  $\nabla_x J(x)$ ?

(c) Write an expression for

 $\nabla_x J(x)$ 

in terms of W, W<sub>0</sub>, and x. Recall that  $\sigma(z) = \frac{e^z}{e^z+1}$  and  $\sigma'(z) = \sigma(z)(1-\sigma(z))$ .

(d) If Betty sets  $\alpha$  to a very *small* value and finds  $x_A = \arg \min_x J(x)$ , is it likely that she will have succeeded in finding a plant similar to  $x^*$  that is classified as negative? Explain why or why not.

(e) If Betty sets  $\alpha$  to a very *large* value and finds  $x_A = \arg \min_x J(x)$ , is it likely that she will have succeeded in finding a plant similar to  $x^*$  that is classified as negative? Explain why or why not.

### Trigonometric basis

7. (14 points) We can define a non-linear feature transformation using trigonometric functions. In this question, we will consider only the case in which our original  $x^{(i)}$  are in  $\mathbb{R}$  (that is, the input dimension d = 1.)

Define the kth order trigonometric basis feature transformation to be

 $\phi(x) = (1, \sin(x), \cos(x), \sin(2x), \cos(2x), \sin(x/2), \cos(x/2), \dots, \sin(kx), \cos(kx), \sin(x/k), \cos(x/k)) \quad .$ 

(a) Sindy thinks that this basis is missing an important aspect, and suggests that it would be useful to add to the feature vector components of the form

$$j\sin(x), j\cos(x)$$

for values of j from 2 to k.

Cosima thinks that Sindy's suggestion won't add any expressive power (that is, that any function that could be represented using Sindy's basis can also be represented using the original one.)

Who is right?  $\bigcirc$  Cosima  $\bigcirc$  Sindy

Let h be a hypothesis written in terms of Sindy's basis of order 2:

$$h(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 \sin(x) + \theta_2 \cos(x) + \\ \theta_3 \sin(2x) + \theta_4 \cos(2x) + \theta_5 \sin(x/2) + \theta_6 \cos(x/2) + \theta_7 2 \sin(x) + \theta_8 2 \cos(x) .$$

If Cosima is right, show how to describe this hypothesis in terms of the original trigonometric basis of order 2, using parameters  $\theta_0, \ldots, \theta_8$ .

(b) We used the trigonometric basis, for several different values of k to transform the input to a new feature space, performed linear regression, and obtained the following plots of h(x) versus x. For each plot, provide the correct k value, chosen from the set 0, 1, 2, 3, 7.



- (c) Which hypotheses from the plots above do you think would lead to the best test-set performance on the range of x values between 0 and 1 (the range that is plotted)?
  A B C D
- (d) We also used the trigonometric basis on the same data with a large fixed value of k, but performed ridge regression with various values of regularization parameter  $\lambda$ . For each plot, provide the correct  $\lambda$  value, chosen from the set  $(0.0, 10^{-7}, 1e1, 1e2)$



## **Costis and Sinclair**

8. (12 points) Costis thinks that using  $f(x) = \cos(x)$  as an activation function for hidden layers in a neural network would be a good idea. Sinclair thinks using  $\cos(x)$  as an output-layer activation function could be useful, depending on what the target values in the training data and the loss function are.

Sinclair and Costis trained up 4 different neural networks using a combination of structures. In each case the inputs were points in two dimensions, with no feature transformation. In every case, they used NLL as the loss function and the y values in the data set were either 0 or 1. Assume that in each case the training arrived at a globally optimal solution.

Here are hypotheses generated by some of their networks. The darker areas correspond to network outputs less than 0.5.

Provide a selection of each of the attributes of a network with the shown optimal solution. Do not provide number of units and hidden layer activation if you select 0 as the number of hidden units. There may be multiple correct answers; you just need to provide one.

- Number of hidden layers: 0 or 1
- Number of units in hidden layer: 1 or 2 (if hidden layer)
- Hidden-layer activation function: linear, cos, relu
- Output activation function: linear, cos, sigmoid



Name:	

Work space